arXiv:1106.5860v1 [math.NT] 29 Jun 2011

On the Statistical Independence of Shift-Register Pseudorandom Multisequence over Part of the Period

Mordechay B. Levin and Irina L. Volinsky

Abstract

In this paper we construct a pseudorandom multisequence $(x_{n_1,\dots,n_r})$ based on kth-order linear recurrences modulo p, such that the discrepancy of the s-dimensional multisequence $(x_{n_1+i_1,\dots,n_r+i_r})_{1\leq i_j\leq s_j, 1\leq j\leq r}$ $1\leq n_j\leq N_j, 1\leq j\leq r$ is equal to $O((N_1\cdots N_r)^{-1/2}\ln^{s+3r}(N_1\cdots N_r))$, where $s=s_1\cdots s_r$, for all $N_1,\dots,N_r$ with $1<N_1\cdots N_r\leq p^k$.

1 Introduction

Equidistribution and statistical independence properties of uniform pseudorandom numbers can be analyzed based on the discrepancy of certain point sets in $[0,1)^s$:

Let $\mathbf{x}_n=(x_{n,1},\dots,x_{n,s})$, $\quad n=0,\dots,N-1$, be a sequence of points in an s-dimensional unit cube $[0,1)^s$; $v=[0,\gamma_1)\times\dots\times[0,\gamma_s)$ a box in $[0,1)^s$. The quantity:

$$D((\mathbf{x}_n)_{n=0}^{N-1})=\sup_{0<\gamma_1,\dots,\gamma_s\leq 1}\left|\#\left\{n\in[0,N-1]\;\middle|\;\mathbf{x}_n\in v\right\}/N-\gamma_1\dots\gamma_s\right|$$

is called the *discrepancy* of $(\mathbf{x}_n)_{n=0}^{N-1}$.

Let us consider pseudorandom numbers (abreviated PRN) obtained by means of the *shift-register* method:

Let p be a prime, let $k\geq 2$ be an integer, and generate a kth-order linear recurring sequence $y_0,y_1,\dots\in F_p$ by

$$y_{n+k}\equiv a_{k-1}y_{n+k-1}+\dots+a_0y_n \mod p,\qquad n=0,1,\dots, \tag{1}$$

where $y_0, ..., y_{k-1}$ are initial values that are not all zero. The integer coefficients $a_0, ..., a_{k-1}$ in (1) are chosen in such a way that, if they are viewed as elements of the finite field F_p, then the characteristic polynomial

$$f(x) = x^k - a_{k-1}x^{k-1} - ... - a_0 \in F_p[x]$$

of the recursion (1) is a primitive polynomial over F_p. Note that the characteristic polynomial f has a root β in the extension field F_q of F_p, where $q = p^k$. Let $F_q^* = F_q \setminus \{0\}$ be the multiplicative group of nonzero elements of F_q and let $\hat{F}_q = \{\beta \in F_q^* \mid \beta - \text{is a primitive root}\}$. We see that $\#F_q^* = q - 1$, and $\#F_q = \varphi(q-1)$, where φ is the Euler's function. Let Tr denote the trace function from F_q to F_p. It is known (see, e.g., [Ni, p. 212]) that there exists an $\alpha \in F_q^*$ such that

$$y_n = Tr(\alpha\beta^n) \qquad \text{for} \qquad n = 0, 1, ... \tag{2}$$

In the *digital multistep method*, the sequence $y_0, y_1, ...$ is transformed into a sequence $x_0, x_1, ...$ of uniform PRN in the following way

$$x_n = \sum_{j=1}^{k} y_{kn+j-1}/p^j, \qquad \text{for} \qquad n = 0, 1, \ldots \tag{3}$$

In a series of papers, Niederreiter (see the review in [Ni]) proved that there exists a characteristic polynomial f such that

$$D((x_n, ..., x_{n+s-1})_{n=0}^{N-1}) = O(\frac{\sqrt{\tau}(\ln \tau)^{s+1}}{N}), \quad \text{for} \quad N = 1, ..., \tau,$$

where τ is the period of the sequence of pseudorandom numbers.

This estimate is interesting for $N \gg \sqrt{\tau}(\log \tau)^{s+1}$. In [Le1],[Le2], Levin descibed a class of uniform PRN sequences $(z_n)_{n \geq 0}$, having a nontrivial discrepancy estimates also for a small part of the period:

$$D((z_n, ..., z_{n+s-1})_{n=0}^{N-1}) = O(N^{-1/2} \ln^{s+3} N), \quad \text{for} \quad N = 1, 2, ... \tag{4}$$

Our goal is to obtain a nontrivial discrepancy estimate similar to (4) for a small part of the period for sequences, some subsequences and multisequences of PRN based on kth-order linear recurrences modulo p. The method of the proof is based on Korobov's approach [Ko2] (see also [Le1], [Le2]). Similar results can be obtained for the pseudorandom sequences described in [Le2] and [Le3].

In this paper we will prove the following theorems:

Theorem 1. *Let $\epsilon \in (0,1)$, and let $\mathbf{x}(n) = (x_n, \dots, x_{n+s-1})$ (see (2), (3)). Then there exist more than $(1-\epsilon)q\varphi(q-1)$ pairs $(\alpha, \beta) \in F_q \times \hat{F}_q$ such that for any $N \in [1,q]$ and any $b_1, b_2 \in [1,q]$, the bound*

$$D((\mathbf{x}(b_1 n + b_2))_{n=0}^{N-1}) \leq \epsilon^{-1} c_1 N^{-1/2} \ln^{s+2.5} 6N \ln^{2.5} 3 \ln 6N,$$

holds, where the constant c_1 depends only on s, b_1 and b_2.

Let $r \geq 2$, $\boldsymbol{\alpha} = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_r) \in F_q^r$, and $\boldsymbol{\beta} = (\beta_1, \dots, \beta_r) \in \hat{F}_q^r$, $\mathbf{n} = (n_1, \dots, n_r)$ and let

$$y(\mathbf{n}, j) \equiv \sum_{i=1}^{r} Tr\left(\alpha_i \beta_i^{k(n_i + s\tilde{n}_i)+j-1}\right) \mod p, \quad y(\mathbf{n}, j) \in [0, p-1],$$

where,

$$\tilde{n}_i \equiv \sum_{w \in [1,r], w \neq i} n_w s^{d_{w,i}} \mod s^{r-1}, \;\; \tilde{n}_w \in [0, s^{r-1}),$$

with

$$d_{w,i} = w - 1 \;\text{ for }\; w < i, \quad \text{and} \quad d_{w,i} = w - 2 \;\text{ for }\; w > i. \tag{5}$$

Consider the following multisequence

$$x_{\mathbf{n}} = \sum_{j=1}^{k} \frac{y(\mathbf{n}, j)}{p^j}, \;\text{ and }\; \mathbf{x_n} = (\mathrm{x}_{\mathbf{n}+\mathbf{i}})_{\substack{0 \leq i_w < s_w \\ 1 \leq w \leq r}}, \;\text{with}\; \mathrm{s_i} \in [1, \mathrm{s}], 1 \leq \mathrm{w} \leq \mathrm{r}, \tag{6}$$

where $\mathbf{i} = (i_1, \dots, i_r)$.

Theorem 2. *Let* $\epsilon \in (0,1)$. *Then there exist more than* $(1-\epsilon)q^r(\varphi(q-1))^r$ *values* $(\boldsymbol{\alpha}, \boldsymbol{\beta}) \in F_q^r \times \hat{F}_q^r$ *such that, for any* $N_i \in [1,q]$, $1 \le i \le r$, $N_1 \dots N_r \le q$, *and any* $s_i \in [1,s]$, $1 \le i \le r$, $s_0 = s_1 \dots s_r \le s$, *the bound*

$$D((\mathbf{x_n})_{\substack{0 \le n_w < N_w \\ 1 \le w \le r}}) \le \epsilon^{-1} c_2 \left(N_1 \dots N_r\right)^{-1/2} \ln^{s_0+2.5r}(2^{r+1} N_1 \dots N_r) \ln^{2.5r} 3 \ln(6 N_1 \cdots N_r)$$

holds, where the constant c_2 *depends only on* $s_1, ..., s_r$.

2 Auxiliary results

For the integer $b \ge 2$, let denote $C(b) = (-b/2, b/2] \bigcap Z$. Let $C_s(b)$ be the inner product of s copies of $C(b)$. Consider point sets for which all coordinates of all points have a finite digit expansion in a fixed base $b \ge 2$. Let

$$\mathbf{w}_n = (w_n^{(1)}, \dots, w_n^{(s)}) \in [0,1)^s, \qquad n = 0, 1, \dots, N-1, \tag{7}$$

where, for an integer $m \ge 1$, we have

$$w_n^{(i)} = \sum_{j=1}^{m} w_{nj}^{(i)} b^{-j}, \qquad 0 \le n \le N-1, \ \ 1 \le i \le s,$$

with $w_{nj}^{(i)} \in Z_b$ for $0 \le n \le N-1, \ \ 1 \le i \le s, \ \ 1 \le j \le m$. For $(h_1, \dots, h_m) \in C_m(b)$, define

$$d(h_1, \dots, h_m) = \begin{cases} \text{largest} \quad d \quad \text{with} \quad h_d \ne 0, & \text{if} \quad (h_1, \dots, h_m) \ne \mathbf{0}, \\ 0, \qquad \text{if} \quad (h_1, \dots, h_m) = \mathbf{0}. \end{cases}$$

For $b = 2$, put $Q_b(h_1, \dots, h_m) = 2^{-d(h_1, \dots, h_m)}$. For $b > 2$, put

$$Q_b(h_1, \dots, h_m) = \begin{cases} b^{-d}(\mathrm{csc}\frac{\pi}{\mathrm{b}}|\mathrm{h_d}| + \sigma(\mathrm{d}, \mathrm{m})), & \text{if} \quad (\mathrm{h_1}, \dots, \mathrm{h_m}) \ne \mathbf{0}, \\ 1, \qquad \text{if} \quad (h_1, \dots, h_m) = \mathbf{0}, \end{cases}$$

where $d = d(h_1, \dots, h_m)$ and where $\sigma(d,m) = 1$ for $d < m$ and $\sigma(m,m) = 0$.
Let $C(b)^{s\times m}$ be the set of all $s \times m$ matrices with entries in $C(b)$, $C^*(b)^{s\times m} = C(b)^{s\times m} \setminus \{0\}$. For $H = (h_{ij}) \in C(b)^{s\times m}$, we define

$$W_b(H) = \prod_{i=1}^{s} Q_b(h_{i1}, \dots, h_{im}).$$

Theorem A. [Ni, Theorem 3.12, p.37, Lemma 4.32, p.68] *If P is the point set (7), and $m \geq [\log_b N]$ then*

$$D(P) \leq \frac{sb}{N} + \sum_{H \in C^*(b)^{s\times m}} W_b(H) \left| \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} e\left(\frac{1}{b} \sum_{i=1}^{s} \sum_{j=1}^{m} h_{ij} w_{nj}^{(i)} \right) \right|,$$

where $e(x) = e^{2\pi\sqrt{-1}x}$.

Lemma 1. [Ni, Lemma 5, p.18] *Let $s \geq 1$ and $m \geq 1$ be integers. Then*

$$\sum_{H \in C^*(b)^{s\times m}} W_b(H) < \left(\frac{2}{\pi} m \ln b + \frac{7}{5} m - \frac{m-1}{b} \right)^s. \tag{8}$$

Lemma 2. (see e.g., [KoSh, p.9, p.13, ref. 3.3]) *Let $\beta \in F_q$,*

$$\delta(\beta) = \begin{cases} 1, & if \quad \beta = 0, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Then

$$\delta(\beta) = \frac{1}{q} \sum_{\alpha \in F_q} e\left(\frac{Tr(\alpha\beta)}{p} \right).$$

For proof of the following well known lemma see, e.g., [Ko, p.13], or [LeVo, Lemma

7, p.156].

Lemma 3. *Let* $N \in [0, T-1]$, $\quad T \in [1, q]$ *and* x_n *be a real* $(0 \le n \le T-1)$. *Then*

$$\left|\sum_{n=0}^{N-1} e(x_n)\right| \le \sum_{m=-T/2}^{T/2} \frac{1}{\bar{m}} \left|\sum_{n=1}^{T} e\left(x_n + \frac{nm}{T}\right)\right|,$$

where $\bar{m} = \max(1, |m|)$. It is easy to see that

$$\sum_{m=-T/2}^{T/2} \frac{1}{\bar{m}} \le 3 + 2\ln T. \tag{9}$$

Lemma 4. *Let* $N_i \in [0, T_i - 1]$, $\quad 1 \le i \le r$, *where* $T_i \in [1, q]$, $\quad 1 \le i \le r$, *and* $\bar{\mathbf{n}} = (n_1, \ldots, n_r)$. *Then*

$$\left|\sum_{n_1=0}^{N_1-1} \cdots \sum_{n_r=0}^{N_r-1} e(x_{\mathbf{n}})\right| \le \sum_{m_1=-T_1/2}^{T_1/2} \cdots \sum_{m_r=-T_r/2}^{T_r/2} \frac{1}{\bar{m}_1 \ldots \bar{m}_r}$$

$$\times \left|\sum_{n_1=0}^{T_1-1} \cdots \sum_{n_r=0}^{T_r-1} e\left(x_{\mathbf{n}} + \frac{n_1 m_1}{T_1} + \ldots + \frac{n_r m_r}{T_r}\right)\right|.$$

The proof of the Lemma 4 is the same as the proof of the Lemma 3.

Lemma 5. *Let* $r \ge 2$, $s_1, \ldots, s_r \in [1, s]$ *be integers,*

$$\widetilde{n_l + i_l} \equiv \sum_{\substack{w \in [1,r] \\ w \ne l}} (n_w + i_w) s^{d_{w,l}} \mod s^{r-1}, \qquad \widetilde{n_l + i_l} \in [0, s^{r-1}). \tag{10}$$

Then for $l \in [1, r]$,

$$\#\left\{k(s\widetilde{n_l + i_l} + i_l) + j \,\middle|\, 0 \le j < k,\ 0 \le i_\nu < s_\nu,\ \nu = 1, \ldots, r\right\} = k s_1 \ldots s_r.$$

Proof. By (10) it is enough to prove that there are no two vectors $(i_1, \ldots, i_r, j) \ne (i_1^{'}, \ldots, i_r^{'}, j^{'})$ with

$$k(s\widetilde{n_l + i_l} + i_l) + j = k(s\widetilde{n_l + i_l^{'}} + i_l^{'}) + j^{'}. \tag{11}$$

We see $j \equiv j^{'} \mod k$. Hence $j = j^{'}$. By (11), we get:

$$i_l \equiv i_l^{'} \mod s. \tag{12}$$

Therefore $i_l = i_l^{'}$. From (11) we have that $\widetilde{n_l + i_l} = \widetilde{n_l + i_l^{'}}$. Hence

$$\sum_{\substack{w \in [1,r] \\ w \neq l}} (n_w + i_w) s^{d_{w,l}} \equiv \sum_{\substack{w \in [1,r] \\ w \neq l}} (n_w + i_w^{'}) s^{d_{w,l}} \mod s^{r-1}.$$

Thus

$$\sum_{\substack{w \in [1,r] \\ w \neq l}} (i_w - i_w^{'}) s^{d_{w,l}} \mod s^{r-1}. \tag{13}$$

For $l \neq 1$, we obtain

$$i_1 - i_1^{'} \equiv 0 \mod s. \tag{14}$$

Note that for $l = 1$, (14) follows from (12). Hence $i_1 = i_1^{'}$. Suppose that $i_j = i_j^{'}$ for $j = 1, \ldots, \nu - 1$, $\nu \neq l$, $\nu \geq 2$. By (5) we get $d_{w,l} - d_{\nu,l} \geq 1$ for $w > \nu$. We deduce from (13) that

$$i_\nu - i_\nu^{'} + \sum_{\substack{w \in (\nu,r] \\ w \neq l}} (i_w - i_w^{'}) s^{d_{w,l} - d_{\nu,l}} \equiv 0 \mod s^{r-1-d_{\nu,l}},$$

$$i_\nu - i_\nu^{'} \equiv 0 \mod s_\nu, \qquad \text{and} \qquad i_\nu = i_\nu^{'}.$$

By induction, Lemma 5 is proved. □

Lemma 6. Let $q = p^k > 3000$, $T \in [1, 4q]$. Then

$$\frac{kT}{\varphi(q-1)} \leq 40 \ln 3T \ln(3 \ln 3T). \tag{15}$$

Proof. First we will prove that

$$\frac{T}{\varphi(q-1)} \leq 10 \ln 3 \ln 3T. \tag{16}$$

By [Sa, p.15, ref. 3a; p.9, ref. 2]

$$\frac{n}{\varphi(n)} < e^{0.58} \ln\ln n + \frac{2.6}{\ln\ln n}, \qquad \text{and} \qquad \varphi(\mathrm{n}) \geq \mathrm{n}^{2/3} \qquad \mathrm{n} \geq 30.$$

Hence

$$\frac{q}{\varphi(q-1)} \leq \frac{q-1}{\varphi(q-1)} + \frac{1}{(q-1)^{2/3}} < 1.5 + 2\ln\ln(q-1). \tag{17}$$

If $T < \varphi(q-1)$, then (16) is true. Let $T \geq \varphi(q-1)$, then

$$\ln T \geq \frac{2}{3}\ln(q-1), \qquad \text{and} \qquad \ln\ln \mathrm{T} \geq \ln\ln(\mathrm{q}-1) - 0.5.$$

By (17) we have

$$\frac{T}{\varphi(q-1)} \leq 4(1.5 + 2\ln\ln(q-1)) \leq 10 + 8\ln\ln 3T.$$

The inequality (16) is proved. If $T \leq \varphi(q-1)/k$, then (15) is true. Let $T \geq \varphi(q-1)/k \geq \varphi(q-1)/\log_2 q$, then

$$\ln T \geq \ln q - \ln(1.5 + 2\ln\ln(q-1)) - \ln(\log_2 q).$$

Hence

$$4\ln T \geq \theta(q), \text{ where } \theta(\mathrm{x}) = 4\ln\mathrm{x} - 4\ln(1.5 + 2\ln\ln(\mathrm{x}-1)) - 4\ln(\log_2 \mathrm{x}).$$

It is easy to verify that $(\theta(x) - \log_2(x))^{'} < 0$ for $x > 3000$, and that $\theta(3000) > 15 > \log_2 3000$. Thus

$$4\ln T > \log_2 q.$$

Applying (16) we get (15). Lemma 6 is proved. □

3 Proof of Theorem 1

By (3) and Theorem A, with $m = [\log_p N]$ we get:

$$N \cdot D((\mathbf{x}(b_1 n + b_2))_{n=0}^{N-1}) \leq sp + \sum_{H \in C^*(b)^{s\times m}} W_p(H)\Big|S(H)\Big|, \tag{18}$$

where

$$S(H)=\sum_{n=0}^{N-1} e\left(\frac{1}{p}\sum_{i=0}^{s-1}\sum_{j=1}^{m} h_{ij} Tr\left(\alpha\beta^{k((b_1n+i)+b_2)+j-1}\right)\right).$$

Using Lemma 3, we have

$$\left|S(H)\right| \leq \sum_{m_1=-T/2}^{T/2} \frac{1}{\bar{m}_1}\left|\dot{S}(H,m_1,\alpha,\beta)\right|,$$

where

$$\dot{S}(H,m_1,\alpha,\beta)=\sum_{n=0}^{T-1} e\left(\frac{1}{p} Tr\left(\alpha\beta^{kb_1n}\gamma(\beta,H)\right)+\frac{m_1 n}{T}\right),$$

with

$$\gamma(\beta,H)=\sum_{i=0}^{s-1}\sum_{j=1}^{m} h_{ij}\beta^{kb_1i+kb_2+j-1}, \qquad \text{and} \qquad \mathrm{T}\in[\mathrm{N},\mathrm{q}]. \tag{19}$$

Taking $m=[\log_p T]$, we obtain from (18) and (19), that

$$N\cdot D((\mathbf{x}(b_1n+b_2))_{n=0}^{N-1}) \leq sp + T\tilde{D}_T(\alpha,\beta), \tag{20}$$

where,

$$T\tilde{D}_T(\alpha,\beta)=\sum_{H\in C^*(b)^{s\times m}} W_p(H) \sum_{m_1=-T/2}^{T/2} \frac{1}{\bar{m}_1}\left|\dot{S}(H,m,\alpha,\beta)\right|. \tag{21}$$

Let,

$$\chi=\frac{1}{q\cdot\varphi(q-1)}\sum_{\beta\in\hat{F}_q}\sum_{\alpha\in F_q}\left|\dot{S}(H,m,\alpha,\beta)\right|. \tag{22}$$

Using the Cauchy - Shwartz inequality, we get:

$$\chi^2 \leq \frac{1}{q\cdot\varphi(q-1)}\sum_{\beta\in\hat{F}_q}\sum_{\alpha\in F_q}\left|\sum_{n=0}^{T-1} e\left(\frac{1}{p}\cdot Tr\left(\alpha\beta^{kb_1n}\gamma(\beta,H)\right)+\frac{m_1n}{T}\right)\right|^2$$

$$=\frac{1}{q\varphi(q-1)}\sum_{\beta\in\hat{F}_q}\sum_{\alpha\in F_q}\sum_{n_1,n_2=0}^{T-1} e\left(\frac{Tr\left(\alpha\beta^{kb_1n_1}\gamma(\beta,H)\right)-Tr\left(\alpha\beta^{kb_1n_2}\gamma(\beta,H)\right)}{p}\right)$$

$$\times e\left(\frac{m_1(n_1-n_2)}{T}\right).$$

Applying Lemma 2, we obtain:

$$\chi^2 = \frac{1}{\varphi(q-1)}\sum_{\beta\in\hat{F}_q}\sum_{n_1,n_2=0}^{T-1}\delta\Big(\gamma(\beta,H)\left(\beta^{kb_1n_1}-\beta^{kb_1n_2}\right)\Big)e\left(\frac{m_1(n_1-n_2)}{T}\right)$$

$$\leq \frac{1}{\varphi(q-1)}\sum_{\beta\in\hat{F}_q}\sum_{n_1,n_2=0}^{T-1}\delta\Big(\gamma(\beta,H)\left(\beta^{kb_1n_1}-\beta^{kb_1n_2}\right)\Big).$$

Bearing in mind that $\delta(\gamma_1\gamma_2)\leq\delta(\gamma_1)+\delta(\gamma_2)$, we have:

$$\chi^2 \leq \chi_1+\chi_2, \tag{23}$$

where

$$\chi_1 = \frac{1}{\varphi(q-1)}\sum_{\beta\in\hat{F}_q}\sum_{n_1,n_2=0}^{T-1}\delta\Big(\beta^{kb_1n_1}-\beta^{kb_1n_2}\Big), \quad \text{and} \quad \chi_2=\frac{\mathrm{T}^2\xi}{\varphi(\mathrm{q}-1)},$$

with

$$\xi = \sum_{\beta\in\hat{F}_q}\delta\Big(\gamma(\beta,H)\Big). \tag{24}$$

Consider χ_1. Let $\beta^{kb_1n_1}-\beta^{kb_1n_2}=0$. Then $\beta^{kb_1(n_1-n_2)}=1$. Taking into account that β ia a primitive root, we obtain $kb_1(n_1-n_2)\equiv 0 \mod \varphi(q-1)$. Hence,

$$\chi_1 \leq T\left(1+\left[\frac{kb_1T}{\varphi(q-1)}\right]\right). \tag{25}$$

Now consider χ_2. By (19), (24), ξ is equal to the number of solution of the following equation:

$$\sum_{i=0}^{s-1}\sum_{j=1}^{m}h_{ij}\beta^{kb_1i+j-1}h_{ij}=0. \tag{26}$$

Bearing in mind that $(h_{11},\ldots,h_{sm})\neq\mathbf{0}$, and (26) is a polynomial equation on the field, we get: $\xi\leq kb_1s$. Thus

$$\chi_2 \leq \frac{kb_1sT^2}{\varphi(q-1)}. \tag{27}$$

By (23), (25), (27) and Lemma 6, we have

$$\chi^2 \leq T\left(1+\left[\frac{kb_1T}{\varphi(q-1)}\right]\right)+\frac{kb_1sT^2}{\varphi(q-1)} \leq T\left(1+(s+1)\frac{kb_1T}{\varphi(q-1)}\right)$$

$$\leq T(1+(s+1)b_1 40\ln 3T\ln(3\ln 3T)) \leq 40(s+2)b_1T\ln 3T\ln(3\ln 3T).$$

We see that

$$\frac{2}{\pi}m\ln p+\frac{7}{5}m-\frac{m-1}{p} \leq 2.5\ln T, \quad \text{for} \quad m=[\log_p T] \geq 1. \tag{28}$$

From (21), (22), (8), (9), Lemma 1 and Lemma3, we obtain:

$$\frac{1}{q\varphi(q-1)}\sum_{\alpha\in F_q}\sum_{\beta\in\hat{F}_q} T\tilde{D}_T(\alpha,\beta) \leq (2.5\ln T)^s\,(3+2\ln T)\,40^{1/2}(s+2)^{1/2}b_1^{1/2}T^{1/2}$$

$$\times\ln^{1/2}3T\ln^{1/2}(3\ln 3T) \leq 3\cdot 40^{1/2}(s+2)^{1/2}2.5^s b_1^{1/2}T^{1/2}\ln^{s+1.5}3T\ln^{1/2}(3\ln 3T). \tag{29}$$

Let $T_i=4^i, \quad i\geq[\log_4 p]+1$, and let

$$R(\alpha,\beta)=\sum_{b_1=1}^{q}\sum_{b_2=1}^{q}\sum_{i=[\log_4 p]+1}^{[\log_4 4q]}\frac{(81b_1^{1.5}b_2\ln^2 3b_1\ln^2 3b_2)^{-1}T_i^{1/2}\tilde{D}_{T_i}(\alpha,\beta)}{40^{1/2}(s+2)^{1/2}2.5^s\ln^{s+2.5}3T_i\ln^{2.5}(3\ln 3T_i)}. \tag{30}$$

By (29), we have:

$$\frac{1}{q\cdot\varphi(q-1)}\sum_{\beta\in\hat{F}_q}\sum_{\alpha\in F_q}R(\alpha,\beta)$$

$$\leq \sum_{b_1=1}^{q}\sum_{b_2=1}^{q}\sum_{i=1}^{\infty}\frac{1}{9b_1b_2\ln^2 3b_1\ln^2 3b_2\;3i\ln 4\;\ln^2(i\ln 4)} < 1. \tag{31}$$

Let

$$\Omega_\epsilon=\left\{\alpha\in F_q,\beta\in\hat{F}_q \;\middle|\; R(\alpha,\beta)<1/\epsilon\right\}, \qquad \#\Omega_\epsilon=\gamma q\cdot\varphi(q-1). \tag{32}$$

Let's prove, that

$$\gamma \geq 1-\epsilon. \tag{33}$$

We see that $q\cdot\varphi(q-1)(1-\gamma)$ is the number of $\alpha \in F_q, \beta \in \hat{F}_q$, such that $R(\alpha,\beta) \geq \frac{1}{\epsilon}$. From (31) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} 1 &\geq \frac{1}{q\cdot\varphi(q-1)} \sum_{\alpha\in F_q} \sum_{\beta\in\hat{F}_q} R(\alpha,\beta) \geq \frac{1}{q\cdot\varphi(q-1)} \sum_{(\alpha,\beta)\in\Omega_\epsilon^c} R(\alpha,\beta) \\ &\geq \frac{1}{q\cdot\varphi(q-1)} \sum_{(\alpha,\beta)\in\Omega_\epsilon^c} \frac{1}{\epsilon} = \frac{1}{q\cdot\varphi(q-1)} \frac{1}{\epsilon} \#\Omega_\epsilon^c \\ &= \frac{1}{q\cdot\varphi(q-1)} \frac{1}{\epsilon} (1-\gamma) q\cdot\varphi(q-1) = \frac{1-\gamma}{\epsilon}. \end{aligned}$$

The inequality (33) is proved.

Now, let $N \in [T_{i_0}, T_{i_0+1})$ for some $i_0 \in [\log_4 p, \log_4 q]$, where $T_i = 4^i$, $q > 3000$. From (20), (30) and (31) we have for all $(\alpha,\beta)\in\Omega_\epsilon$,

$$\begin{aligned} ND(\mathbf{x}(n,\alpha,\beta))_{0\leq n\leq N-1} &\leq sp + T_{i_0+1}\tilde{D}_{T_{i_0+1}}(\alpha,\beta) \leq sp + \epsilon^{-1}3^4 40^{1/2}(s+2)^{1/2}2.5^s \\ &\times T_{i_0+1}^{1/2} \ln^{s+2.5} 3T_{i_0+1} \ln^{2.5}(3\ln 3T_{i_0+1}) \leq \epsilon^{-1}c_1 N^{1/2} \ln^{s+2.5} 6N \ln^{2.5}(3\ln 6N), \quad (34) \end{aligned}$$

with

$$c_1 = sp + 3^6(s+2)^{1/2}2.5^s b_1^{1.5} b_2 \ln^2 3b_1 \ln^2 3b_2.$$

It is easy to see that $c_1 \geq \max(p, 3000)$. Hence, if $N \leq \max(p, 3000)$, then (34) is also true. Theorem 1 is proved. □

4 Proof of the Theorem 2

By (6) and Theorem A, with $m = [\log_p N_1 \ldots N_r]$ and $s_0 = s_1 \cdots s_r$, we get:

$$N_1 \cdots N_r D((\mathbf{x_n})_{1\leq n_w<N_w,\, 1\leq w\leq r}) \leq s_0 p + \sum_{H\in C^*(p)^{s_0\times m}} W_p(H)\left|S(H)\right|,$$

where

$$S(H) = \sum_{\substack{n_i\in[0,N_i-1]\\ i=1,\ldots,r}} e\left(\frac{1}{p} \sum_{\substack{i_w\in[0,s_w-1]\\ w=1,\ldots,r}} \sum_{j=1}^{k} \sum_{l=1}^{r} h_{i_1,\ldots,i_r,j} Tr\left(\alpha_l \beta_l^{k(n_l+i_l+\widetilde{sn_l+i_l})+j-1}\right)\right),$$

Let $N_i \in [1, T_i], \quad T_i \in [1, q], \quad 1 \leq i \leq r$. Using Lemma 4, we get:

$$S(H) \leq \sum_{m_1=-T_1/2}^{T_1/2} \dots \sum_{m_r=-T_r/2}^{T_r/2} \frac{\left|\dot{S}(H, T, \boldsymbol{\alpha}, \boldsymbol{\beta})\right|}{\bar{m}_1 \dots \bar{m}_r},$$

where

$$\dot{S}(H, T, \boldsymbol{\alpha}, \boldsymbol{\beta}) = \left| \sum_{t_1=0}^{T_1-1} \dots \sum_{t_r=0}^{T_r-1} e\left(\sigma(\mathbf{t}, \boldsymbol{\alpha}, \boldsymbol{\beta})\right) \right|, \tag{35}$$

with

$$\sigma(\mathbf{t}, \boldsymbol{\alpha}, \boldsymbol{\beta}) = \sum_{l=1}^{r} \Big(\frac{1}{p} \sum_{i_1=0}^{s_1-1} \dots \sum_{i_r=0}^{s_r-1} \sum_{j=1}^{k} h_{i_1,\dots,i_r,j} Tr\left(\alpha_l \beta_l^{k(t_l+i_l+s\widetilde{t_l+i_l})+j-1} \right) + \frac{m_l t_l}{T_l} \Big).$$

Hence,

$$N_1 \dots N_r \cdot D((\mathbf{x_n})_{0 \leq n_w < N_w,\, 1 \leq w \leq r}) \leq s_0 p + T_1 \dots T_r \widetilde{D}_{T_1,\dots,T_r}(\boldsymbol{\alpha}, \boldsymbol{\beta}), \tag{36}$$

where,

$$T_1 \dots T_r \widetilde{D}_{T_1,\dots,T_r}(\boldsymbol{\alpha}, \boldsymbol{\beta}) = \sum_{H \in C^*(p)^{s_0 \times m}} W_p(H) \sum_{m_1=-T_1/2}^{T_1/2} \dots \sum_{m_r=-T_r/2}^{T_r/2} \frac{\left|\dot{S}(H, T, \boldsymbol{\alpha}, \boldsymbol{\beta})\right|}{\bar{m}_1 \dots \bar{m}_r},$$

with $m = [\log_p(T_1 \dots T_r)]$. Let

$$\chi(\mathbf{T}) = \frac{1}{q^r (\varphi(q-1))^r} \sum_{\boldsymbol{\beta} \in \hat{F}_q^r} \sum_{\boldsymbol{\alpha} \in F_q^r} \left| \dot{S}(H, T, \boldsymbol{\alpha}, \boldsymbol{\beta}) \right|. \tag{37}$$

Using the Cauchy - Shwartz inequality, we get:

$$\chi^2(\mathbf{T}) \leq \frac{1}{q^r \cdot (\varphi(q-1))^r} \sum_{\boldsymbol{\beta} \in \hat{F}_q^r} \sum_{\boldsymbol{\alpha} \in F_q^r} \left| \sum_{t_1=0}^{T_1-1} \dots \sum_{t_r=0}^{T_r-1} e\left(\sigma(\mathbf{t}, \boldsymbol{\alpha}, \boldsymbol{\beta})\right) \right|^2. \tag{38}$$

By (35), we have

$$\chi^2(\mathbf{T}) = \frac{1}{q^r \cdot (\varphi(q-1))^r} \sum_{\boldsymbol{\beta} \in \hat{F}_q^r} \sum_{\boldsymbol{\alpha} \in F_q^r} \sum_{t_1^{(1)}, t_1^{(2)}=0}^{T_1-1} \dots \sum_{t_r^{(1)}, t_r^{(2)}=0}^{T_r-1} 1$$

$$\times e\left(\frac{1}{p}\sum_{i_1=0}^{s_1-1}\cdots\sum_{i_r=0}^{s_r-1}\sum_{j=1}^{k}\sum_{l=1}^{r}h_{i_1,\ldots,i_r,j}\left(Tr\left(\alpha_l\beta_l^{k(t_l^{(2)}+i_l+s\widetilde{t_l^{(2)}+i_l})+j-1}\right)\right)\right)$$

$$\times e\left(-Tr\left(\alpha_l\beta_l^{k(t_l^{(1)}+i_l+s\widetilde{t_l^{(1)}+i_l})+j-1}\right)+\frac{m_1(t_1^{(2)}-t_1^{(1)})}{T_1}+\ldots+\frac{m_r(t_r^{(2)}-t_r^{(1)})}{T_r}\right).$$

Using Lemma 2, we get

$$\chi^2(\mathbf{T})\leq\frac{1}{(\varphi(q-1))^r}\sum_{\boldsymbol{\beta}\in\hat{F}_q^r}\sum_{t_1^{(1)},t_1^{(2)}=0}^{T_1-1}\cdots\sum_{t_r^{(1)},t_r^{(2)}=0}^{T_r-1}$$

$$\prod_{l=1}^{r}\delta\Big(\sum_{i_1=0}^{s_1-1}\cdots\sum_{i_r=0}^{s_r-1}\sum_{j=1}^{k}h_{i_1,\ldots,i_r,j}\big(\beta_l^{k(t_l^{(2)}+i_l+s\widetilde{t_l^{(2)}+i_l})+j-1}-\beta_l^{k(t_l^{(1)}+i_l+s\widetilde{t_l^{(1)}+i_l})+j-1}\big)\Big)$$

$$\times e\left(\frac{m_1(t_1^{(2)}-t_1^{(1)})}{T_1}+\ldots+\frac{m_r(t_r^{(2)}-t_r^{(1)})}{T_r}\right)$$

$$\leq\frac{1}{(\varphi(q-1))^r}\sum_{\boldsymbol{\beta}\in\hat{F}_q^r}\sum_{t_1^{(1)},t_1^{(2)}=0}^{T_1-1}\cdots\sum_{t_r^{(1)},t_r^{(2)}=0}^{T_r-1}$$

$$\prod_{l=1}^{r}\delta\Big(\sum_{i_1=0}^{s_1-1}\cdots\sum_{i_r=0}^{s_r-1}\sum_{j=1}^{k}h_{i_1,\ldots,i_r,j}\big(\beta_l^{k(t_l^{(2)}+i_l+s\widetilde{t_l^{(2)}+i_l})+j-1}-\beta_l^{k(t_l^{(1)}+i_l+s\widetilde{t_l^{(1)}+i_l})+j-1}\big)\Big).$$

It is easy to see that

$$\chi^2(\mathbf{T})\leq\frac{1}{(\varphi(q-1))^r}\sum_{\substack{\beta_i\in\hat{F}_q\\ i=1,\ldots,r}}\sum_{\substack{t_i^{(j)}\in[0,T_i-1]\\ i=1,\ldots,r,\quad j=1,2}}\prod_{l=1}^{r}\delta(\varsigma_l),$$

with

$$\varsigma_l=\sum_{i_1=0}^{s_1-1}\cdots\sum_{i_r=0}^{s_r-1}\sum_{j=1}^{k}h_{i_1,\ldots,i_r,j}\left(\beta_l^{k(t_l^{(2)}+s\widetilde{t_l^{(2)}+i_l})+j-1}-\beta_l^{k(t_l^{(1)}+i_l+s\widetilde{t_l^{(1)}+i_l})+j-1}\right).$$

We take a new variable v_l instead of $\widetilde{t_l^{(2)}+i_l}$, $(l=1,\ldots,r)$. Enlarging the domain of the summation, we obtain:

$$\chi^2(\mathbf{T})\leq\frac{1}{(\varphi(q-1))^r}\sum_{\substack{\beta_i\in\hat{F}_q\\ i=1,\ldots,r}}\sum_{\substack{t_i^{(j)}\in[0,T_i-1]\\ i=1,\ldots,r,\quad j=1,2}}\prod_{l=1}^{r}\sum_{v_l\in[0,s^{r-1})}\delta(\zeta_l),$$

where

$$\zeta_l = \sum_{i_1=0}^{s_1-1} \cdots \sum_{i_r=0}^{s_r-1} \sum_{j=1}^{k} h_{i_1,\ldots,i_r,j} \left(\beta_l^{k(t_l^{(2)}+sv_l+i_l)+j-1} - \beta_l^{k(t_l^{(1)}+i_l+\widetilde{st_l^{(1)}+i_l})+j-1} \right). \tag{39}$$

Hence,

$$\chi^2(\mathbf{T}) \leq \prod_{l=1}^{r} \sum_{t_i^{(1)} \in [0,T_i-1]} \xi_l(\mathbf{t}^{(1)}), \tag{40}$$

with

$$\xi_l(\mathbf{t}^{(1)}) = \frac{1}{\varphi(q-1)} \sum_{\beta_l \in \hat{F}_q} \sum_{t_l^{(2)} \in [0,T_l-1]} \sum_{v_l \in [0,s^{r-1})} \delta(\zeta_l). \tag{41}$$

Consider the equation $\zeta_l = 0$. By (39), we have:

$$\beta_l^{k((t_l^{(2)}-t_l^{(1)})} \gamma_1 = \gamma_2, \tag{42}$$

where

$$\gamma_1 = \sum_{i_1=0}^{s_1-1} \cdots \sum_{i_r=0}^{s_r-1} \sum_{j=1}^{k} h_{i_1,\ldots,i_r,j} \beta_l^{k(sv_l+i_l)+j-1},$$

$$\gamma_2 = \gamma_2(\beta_l) = \sum_{i_1=0}^{s_1-1} \cdots \sum_{i_r=0}^{s_r-1} \sum_{j=1}^{k} h_{i_1,\ldots,i_r,j} \beta_l^{k(\widetilde{st_l^{(1)}+i_l}+i_l)+j-1}. \tag{43}$$

Similarly to (23), we get:

$$\xi_l(\mathbf{t}^{(1)}) \leq \dot{\xi}_l(\mathbf{t}^{(1)}) + \ddot{\xi}_l(\mathbf{t}^{(1)}), \tag{44}$$

where

$$\dot{\xi}_l(\mathbf{t}^{(1)}) = \frac{1}{\varphi(q-1)} \sum_{\beta_l \in \hat{F}_q} \sum_{t_l^{(2)} \in [0,T_l-1]} \sum_{v_l \in [0,s^{r-1})} \delta\left(\beta_l^{k((t_l^{(2)}-t_l^{(1)})} \gamma_1 - \gamma_2\right)$$

$$\times \left(1 - \delta(\gamma_2)\right), \quad \text{and} \quad \ddot{\xi}_{\mathrm{l}}(\mathbf{t}^{(1)}) = \mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{l}} \frac{1}{\varphi(\mathrm{q}-1)} \sum_{\beta_{\mathrm{l}} \in \hat{\mathrm{F}}_{\mathrm{q}}} \sum_{\mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{l}} \in [0,\mathrm{s}^{\mathrm{r}-1})} \delta(\gamma_2). \tag{45}$$

Consider $\dot{\xi}_l(\mathbf{t}^{(1)})$. We see that if $\gamma_2 = 0$, then $\dot{\xi}_l(\mathbf{t}^{(1)}) = 0$. By (42) and (45) if $\gamma_2 \neq 0$ and $\gamma_1 = 0$, then also $\dot{\xi}_l(\mathbf{t}^{(1)}) = 0$. Now let $\gamma_1 \neq 0$ and $\gamma_2 \neq 0$. There exists an integer a, such that $\beta_l^a = \gamma_2/\gamma_1$. By (42), we get $k(t_l^{(2)} - t_l^{(1)}) \equiv a \mod \varphi(q-1)$. Hence

$$\#\left\{0 \le t_l^{(2)} < T_l \;\middle|\; k(t_l^{(2)} - t_l^{(1)}) \equiv a \mod \varphi(q-1)\right\} \le 1 + \left[\frac{kT_l}{\varphi(q-1)}\right].$$

By (45) and Lemma 6, we get

$$\dot{\xi}_l \le s^{r-1}(1 + 40 \ln 3T_l \ln 3(\ln 3T_l)). \tag{46}$$

Similarly to (27), we have

$$\#\left\{\beta_l \in \hat{F}_q \middle| \gamma_2(\beta_l) = 0\right\} \le ks^r, \qquad \text{and} \qquad \ddot{\xi}_l(\mathbf{t}^{(1)}) \le ks^{2r-1}\frac{T_l}{\varphi(q-1)}.$$

By (40), (46) and Lemma 6 we obtain

$$\xi_l(\mathbf{t}^{(1)}) \le s^{r-1} + 40s^{r-1} \ln 3T_l \ln(3\ln 3T_l) + 40s^{2r-1} \ln 3T_l \ln(3 \ln 3T_l)$$

$$\le 81s^{2r-1} \ln 3T_l \ln(3\ln 3T_l).$$

From (40), we have

$$\chi^2(\mathbf{T}) \le \prod_{l=1}^{r} T_l 81 s^{2r-1} \ln 3T_l \ln(3 \ln 3T_l).$$

Using (28), (37), (38), Lemma1 and Lemma4, we deduce

$$\frac{1}{q^r(\varphi(q-1))^r} \sum_{\boldsymbol{\alpha} \in F_q^r} \sum_{\boldsymbol{\beta} \in \hat{F}_q^r} T_1 \ldots T_r \tilde{D}_{T_1,\ldots,T_r}(\boldsymbol{\alpha}, \boldsymbol{\beta}) \le (2.5 \ln(T_1 \ldots T_r))^s$$

$$\times \prod_{l=1}^{r} (2 + 3\ln T_l)\, T_l^{1/2} (81 s^{2r-1} \ln 3T_l \ln(3 \ln 3T_l))^{1/2} \quad \text{for} \quad T_1 \cdots T_r \ge p. \tag{47}$$

Let $T_{j_i} = 4^{j_i},\ j_i = 0, 1, ...,\ i = 1, ..., r$, and let

$$R(\boldsymbol{\alpha}, \boldsymbol{\beta}) = \sum_{\substack{1 \le s_1, \ldots, s_r \le s \\ s_1 \cdots s_r \le s}} \sum_{\substack{1 \le j_1, \ldots, j_r \le \log_4 q \\ \log_4 p \le j_1 + \ldots + j_r \le \log_4 4q}} \frac{\psi^{-1}(T_{\mathbf{j}}) \sqrt{T_{j_1} \ldots T_{j_r}}\, \tilde{D}_{T_{\mathbf{j}}}(\boldsymbol{\alpha}, \boldsymbol{\beta})}{3^{5r} s_1 \cdots s_r \ln^2 3s_1 \cdots \ln^2 3s_r}, \tag{48}$$

where

$$\psi(\mathbf{T_j}) = (2.5\ln(T_1 \dots T_r))^s \prod_{l=1}^{r} s^{r-1/2} \ln^{2.5} 3T_{j_l} \ln^{2.5}(3\ln 3T_{j_l}).$$

From (47) we get

$$\frac{1}{q^r\,(\varphi(q-1))^r} \sum_{\alpha\in F_q^r} \sum_{\beta\in \hat{F}_q^r} R(\alpha,\beta)$$

$$\leq \prod_{i=1}^{r} \sum_{s_i=1}^{\infty} \sum_{j_i=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{3s_i \ln^2 3s_i \cdot 3j_i \ln 4\ \ln^2(j_i\ln 4)} < 1.$$

Let

$$\Omega_\epsilon = \left\{ \boldsymbol{\alpha} \in F_q^r, \boldsymbol{\beta} \in \hat{F}_q^r \;\middle|\; R(\boldsymbol{\alpha},\boldsymbol{\beta}) < \frac{1}{\epsilon} \right\}, \qquad \#\Omega_\epsilon = \gamma q^r\,(\varphi(q-1))^r. \tag{49}$$

Similarly to (31)-(33), we get $\gamma \geq 1-\epsilon$.

Now, let $N_i \in [T_{j_i}, T_{j_i+1})$ for some $j_i \in [0, \log_4 q],\ i = 1,...,r$, with $T_{j_i} = 4^{j_i}$ and $T_{j_1} \dots T_{j_r} \geq p$. From (36), (48) and (49), we have for all $(\boldsymbol{\alpha},\boldsymbol{\beta}) \in \Omega_\epsilon$:

$$\begin{aligned} N_1 \dots N_r D\left((\mathbf{x_n})_{1\leq n_w<N_w,\, 1\leq w\leq r}\right) &\leq s_0 p + T_{j_1+1}\dots T_{j_r+1} \tilde{D}_{T_\mathbf{j}}(\boldsymbol{\alpha},\boldsymbol{\beta}) \\ &\leq s_0 p + \epsilon^{-1} 3^{5r} s^{r^2-r/2} \left(T_{j_1+1}\dots T_{j_r+1}\right)^{1/2} \\ \times \left(2.5\ln\left(T_{j_1+1}\dots T_{j_r+1}\right)\right)^s &\prod_{l=1}^{r} \ln^{2.5}(3T_{j_l+1}) \ln^{2.5}(3\ln 3T_{j_l+1}) s_l \ln^2 3s_l \\ &\leq \epsilon^{-1} c_2 \left(N_1\dots N_r\right)^{1/2} \ln^{s+2.5r}(2^{r+1} N_1 \dots N_r) \ln^{2.5r} 3\ln(6N_1\cdots N_r) \end{aligned} \tag{50}$$

with

$$c_2 = s_0 p + 3^{5r} 2.5^s s^{r^2-r/2} s_1 \cdots s_r \ln^2 3s_1 \cdots \ln^2 3s_r$$

It is easy to see that $c_2 \geq \max(p, 3000)$. Hence, if $N_1 \cdots N_r \leq \max(p, 3000)$, then (50) is also true. Theorem 2 is proved. □

References

[KoSh] Konyagin, S., Shparlinski, I., Character sums with exponential functions and their applications, Cambridge, 1999.

[Ko1] Korobov, N.M., Estimates of trigonometric sums and sums of characters. (Russian) Diophantine approximations, Part 1, 42-47, Moskov. Gos. Univ., Mekh.-Mat. Fak., Moscow, 1985.

[Ko2] Korobov, N.M., Exponential Sums and their Applications, Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht, 1992.

[Le1] Levin, M.B., The choice of parameters in generators of pseudorandom numbers. Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR, 307 (1989), no. 3, 529-534. English translation in Soviet Math. Dokl. 40 (1990), no. 1, 101-103.

[Le2] Levin, M.B., Explicit digital inversive pseudorandom numbers, Math. Slovaca, 2000, v. 50, no. 5, p. 581-598.

[Le3] Levin, M.B., On the statistical independence of compound pseudorandom numbers over part of the period, ACM Trans. Model Comput. Simulation, 2001, v. 11,no. 3, p. 294-311.

[LeVo] Levin M. B., Volinsky I. L., Discrepancy estimate of normal vectors (the case of hyperbolic matrices), Uniform Distribution Theory, 5 (2010), no.2, 141-167.

[Ni] Niederreiter, H., Random Number Generation and Quasi-Monte Carlo Methods, SIAM, Philadelphia, 1992.

[Sa] Sándor, J., Mitrinovic, D., Crstici, B., Handbook of number theory. I. Springer, Dordrecht, 2006.

Address: Department of Mathematics, Bar-Ilan University, Ramat-Gan, 52900 Israel
e-mails: *mlevin@math.biu.ac.il*, *volinskaya_i@yahoo.com.*